G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-3

~~191~~.

(Muzrai.)

File No. 58

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month

SUBJECT.

Passing orders in regard to the Construction of a new Masjid at Channapatna.

when p

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	281-11
	Muz 387-28-1

Munzer or Dept

Submitted to Dewan for orders as Sec Draft

Ker. expended by

2-6-93

Memo.

About June 1892 one Syed Mohideen a Mussalman merchant of Channapatna began to construct at his own cost, a Masjid in close proximity of a main road in the Fort of Channapatna. But on the representation of the Parpattegar of Varadarajaswami temple that the road was one through which the Temple processions accompanied by music are usually taken, the Deputy Amildar issued a notice on the 5th July 1892 to Syed Mohideen warning him not to proceed with the work until a special permission therefor has been obtained from the Deputy Commissioner. The work was accordingly stopped.

2. Upon this there were petitions both from the Hindus and the Mohomedans to the Deputy Commissioner on the subject who referred them all to the Sub-Division Officer Closepet for disposal, with the observation that, there was no objection to the construction of the Mosque and that if it were a new one it could not have the privilege of stoppage of music before it. The Sub-Division Officer after instituting an enquiry p the following order on the 23rd September 1892:

"The Petitioner is

a new Masjid in the Fort. The Deputy Amildar served on him a notice to stop the work, as there would be a clash when Hindu processions pass by it. The Government order that the new Masjids have no right to stop the playing of music passing in the Street by the Masjid has been duly communicated to the Petitioner. Now he says that he has no wish that the playing of music should be stopped before the Masjid which he is going to erect. The Deputy Commissioner's order on the petition dated 14th July has also been communicated to the Petitioner. He may build the Masjid but he will not be entitled to have music accompanying Hindu processions stopped before the Masjid."

3. The Sub-Division Officer submitted a further report on the subject to the Deputy Commissioner on the 16th October 1892 which runs thus:—

(1) "This morning I inspected the site at Channapatna Fort where a new Masjid is to be built. Foundations have been laid up for the building. It is situated in the main Street where the

Car

car procession of the Temple takes place and amidst houses belonging to the Hindus"

(2) "At the time of my inspection a few Mohomedans who were present on the site said that there was an old Masjid on the site and that they were entitled to the play of music being stopped in front of the new Masjid. This claim is altogether unfounded. The statement of Syed Mohideen who is erecting the Masjid is annexed for information. There had been an old Ashurkhana at the place and not a Masjid. At no time music had been stopped while passing by the Ashurkhana".

(3.) "The Government order that the playing of music will not be stopped before the new Masjid in question has been duly communicated to the Hindus as well as the Mohomedans as the Deputy Amildar reports, by beat of Tom Tom and by written notices, but the Mohomedans appear to have refused the written notice. The refused notice with the Amildar's report is herewith submitted".

(4) "The erection of the building is allowed on the distinct understanding that the Mohomedans will not be entitled to interfere

in any way with the Temple processions passing by the new building. The Police will watch their future conduct and legal steps will be taken if necessary."

4. The above order was concurred in by the Deputy Commissioner Mr Mir Shujayet Ali.

5. Meanwhile a Petition was received in the Mwozai Department of the Dewan's Office from the merchants and others of Chamapatna, representing that if the construction of a new Masjid on a road used for Temple processions be permitted, much disturbance would ensue. This petition was referred to the Deputy Commissioner for report and on its receipt, the construction of the Masjid was ordered to be stopped, pending final disposal of the question by the Government.

6. On the 25th Instant, I proceeded to Chamapatna and inspected the locality. A sketch of the new Masjid is attached hereto for the purpose of easy reference.

7. The new Masjid measures 30×25 feet and its walls have come up to 12 feet high. A small portion of the wood-work of the roof has been fixed. The terracing has not been started as yet. The Temple processions after leaving the Temple C proceed

along

along the roads No. 1 and 2 and then along road No 3 where the new Masjid under construction is situated and return to the Temple by road No. 4. Seeing that the 4 Streets indicated in the plan are particularly Hindu Quarter, the number of Hindu houses being 6 times more than mohomedan ones, it would have been better if the construction of the Masjid had been at once stopped at the very commencement for want of special permission of the District Officer as required in the late Chief Commissioner's circular No. 2528/72 dated 22nd August 1871, which clearly lays down

"that no buildings intended to be used as places of worship by any class of the community should be erected on any public Street or thoroughfare in any town or village without the previous Sanction of the District Officer in each case"; and that the old "order forbidding the use of music by religious processions when passing to a place of worship of another persuasion, will not be held applicable in the case of any building of the nature under adverlence, that may be hereafter erected in a public Street or thoroughfare without due Sanction"?

8. But unfortunately the building has progressed to some extent, and the owner or rather Syed Mohideen who is erecting the Masjid for the benefit of his community must have already spent on it 4 or 5 hundred rupees. I would not therefore recommend its removal, but will impose upon him certain conditions, to which I would refer later on.

9. Under the terms of the late Chief Commissioner's ruling above adverted to, the Mohomedan Community cannot certainly claim the privilege of stoppage of music in front of the Masjid in question, the same having been constructed subsequent to the date of the said ruling. But in process of time, it is possible the age of the Masjid may be contested and disputes of violent character may consequently arise, leading not improbably to acts endangering public tranquillity. To prevent all such disputes in future among the two Communities, I would propose that Syed Mohideen be called upon to comply with the following conditions before he can be allowed to proceed with the execution of the remaining work of the building:—

Firstly— A tablet shewing the

year

This is not necessary

year of construction should be fixed in a conspicuous part of the Masjid so that the exact date of its construction may not be contested on any future occasion.

Secondly— Its main entrance should be shifted from road No. 3 to the lane connecting the main roads No. 1 and 3. The Masjid as now situated fortunately faces East, namely, towards the lane. There can therefore be no possible objection to the opening of the gateway also in the same direction. To be more clear, the opening F on the main road No. 3 where a gateway is now intended to be set up should be walled up and the main entrance opened either at G or H in the lane, as may be found convenient. If the latter place be preferred on the ground that it would be directly opposite to the Masjid, the Hindustani School B, a small tiled building within the Masjid enclosure may be removed to J.

This is essential

10. If the main entrance be opened towards the lane as now proposed, the question of stoppage of music when Hindu processions move along the main road No. 3 will arise on any future occasion.

28th March 1893

G Simmons

Mungeror Secy

9.

Describe the facts and say that after consideration of all the Circ^ces connected with the Construction of the Masjid the Govt. are of opinion that in according sanction therefor the Dt. offr. did not exercise a wise discretion. By the orders of the late Ch.-Cont. ~~a discretion~~ W. the Dt. offr. has the power to give sanction to the erection of a place of worship on any public street or thoroughfare but ~~it is necessary that~~ it is his duty to exercise this power in such a way ~~in way that will can give no offence to in any section of the Community and or can involve no possible future risk to the friendly feelings~~ that at no future time could there arise any occasion for disputes and bad feeling between the various sections of the Community. It is true that the permission given to build the Musjid in this case is ~~by the mad~~ upon the express condition that ~~no~~ a procession of any other religion may continue to play ~~will be expected to stop~~ music when passing in front of it. This condition may come to be forgotten & its existence ~~disputed~~ called in question at some future time, and there wd. then arise disputes and ~~unfriendly~~ consequent unfriendly feelings between the Hindu & Mohamedan sections of the Community. Supposing that the prescribed condition is never called in question but is always strictly enforced, the very fact that the condition exists & is enforced would be ~~[illegible]~~ a source of constant irritation to the Mohamedan section, ~~[illegible] distateful to the more enlightened Hindu section, which exercises as is compelled, by the very [illegible]~~

In matters of religion people's ~~[illegible]~~ feelings and sentiments are never guided by ~~a~~ strict reason or any ~~[illegible]~~ consideration of the rights & obligations involved. ~~[illegible]~~ If a procession belonging to ~~one~~ a person or persons of our religion plays music before a ~~[illegible]~~ place of worship belonging to another religion, the act is regarded by every one belonging to the latter religion as a wanton act of intentional disrespect to his religions and his anger will not be

7. the less, because the act was done in exercise of an [redacted] existing right. It is therefore most undesirable that the Dt. Officer Md. Ever Create [redacted] ~~so obnoxious~~ a right so obnoxious in itself and inimical to the growth & maintenance of ~~the~~ friendly feelings between ~~the sections~~ the various sections ~~of the Community~~. The Govt. are sure that no ~~the~~ enlightened member of any Community whatsoever ~~will~~ can feel any satisfaction in possessing ~~a exercising such~~ a right the exercise of which is necessarily attended with pain to the feelings of his neighbor

Under such Cir^ces the Govt. have no hesitation in dis-approving of the permission which has been given to the Construction of the Musjid in question but as unfortunately the building has already considerably advanced, the Govt. think it undesirable to direct its removal to a more eligible place. It will be sufficient for all interests ~~& for the future for~~ if the gate be placed on the East so as opening into ~~an~~ an existing side street instead of on the

on the S. as now proposed to opening into the main road on which Temple & other Hindu processions usually pass. The Dep Commr will immediately cause the alteration of gate as above ~~proposed~~. This alteration ~~[illegible]~~ will cause no inconvenience whatever to any party and affords the best solution of the ~~[illegible]~~ conflicting ~~[illegible]~~ questions which have been ~~[illegible]~~ raised. A plan showing ~~[illegible]~~ the alteration above ordered will be ~~[illegible]~~ forwarded to the Dep Comr by the Mangeroi Supt.

[illegible] 4-6-93

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 429

Name of Petitioner. The Hindu Inhabitants of Channapat

Village. Channapatna

Taluk. Closepet

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 26th July

Date of Receipt. 17th August.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Petitioners state that Mahommadans are to build a new Musjid in of the main streets of the where all the procession Varadarajaswami & others pass, & that this may quarrels between Hindus Mahommadans as the are likely to claim new They pray for an order effect that mamool be kept up.

S.V.

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 836

Name of Petitioner. Venkatachar and other Hindu inhabitant

Village. Channapatna

Taluk. Closepet

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi.

Date of Receipt. 31. 7 92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures

I think there is some reference on this subject - Look up - Refs

25/10/92

Guru murtaiyar

5/11/92

ABSTRACT.

The Mohammaden inh ants have begun to a Jumma Masjid in of the chief streets of the through which the freq processions of Varadaraja Swami and other Hindu Gods usually pass. In same place they had a building where they kept Tabuts during Moh Hindu processions hav been freely passing wit

This ref. ought to have been secured earlier

Jivan Rao warned

To Dy Comr in Contn

To D.C. Bangalore 914 m 7.5.92 8/6/93

8/11/92

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92,—4000.

music. If the Masjid be constructed the processions will not be allowed to pass freely before it according to Mamool, and consequently quarrels may arise between Hindus & Mohammedans.

Petitioners pray therefore that the Mohammedans may be forbidden to build a Masjid newly there

S.V

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. No. 836
DATE 10-10-9[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

नारायणाचार्य

[illegible]

पुराणिकसुब्रह्मण्याचार्यनिह

[illegible]

मल्लपंटण दकिल्लेरामदेवरेड्डी आर्चिकसुब्बाचारु
नरसिंहाचारुराजू

272

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 429 dated 26th July 1892 received at the Dewan's Office from the Hindu inhabitants of Channapatna, Close Lk, praying that as the Mohamed are going to build a new mosque one of the main streets of the town, the Hindu processions may pass in the locality according to Mamoo C, is forwarded ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Dy. Comr. Banga Distt, with the remark that new places of religious worship will acquire the privilege of stoppa of processional music in of them, under existing rules. builders should accordingly be warned of this and the same a Communicated to Petrs with interested.

By Order,

Draft.
(Dewan's order)

23/8

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
23 Augt 1892.

Endt issued
23/8.

7 Pet 579 Gra

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 836 dated 31-7-92 received at the Dewan's Office from Venkata Char and other inhabitants of Channapatna, Closepet, praying that the erection by Mahon of a Jumma Musjid in one the main streets of the Town may be forbidden,

is forwarded, to~~gether with~~ its en~~closures~~, to the Deputy Comm Bangalore Dist. in con of this Office Memo No. 27 of 23d August/92.

From the Same No. 452 of 24-11-92

By Order,

Draft

8/11.

BANGALORE, 8 Novr 1892 } for Chief Secretary.

Not issued 8/11/92

D.C. Bangalore 914 7.5-8-92 ? 6/8/93

18

M. No. 62 B

Early

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 81

dated 26th July 92 received at the Dewan's Office from Venkatachar, and other residents of Chennapatna

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Deputy Commr Bangalore District for early enquiry and report

From the Same no 430 of 14-11-92.

By Order,

Draft

BANGALORE,
6-9- 1892.

as
Muzrai ~~Secretary~~.

To the Same No 914 / M.F. 58-92 of 6/6/93.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(General Serial No. 30.) 5072

1892-93.

Pet 24

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated Mazrai Department.

Despatched 14th November 1892.

Received

Enclosures No. file

General No.

D No. 430.

His Memo No. 62 of the 6th September last forwarding for report, petition from Venkatachar and other residents of Chennapatna, protesting against the construction of a Muszid in the said town.

Has the honor to forward, herewith, the entire correspondence ending with the Closepet Sub Division Officer's report No. 66 of the 16th Ultimo and to state that I concur

Transferred the Muzarai Deptt as the communication itself is addressed to the secretary in the Muzrai Deptt: BO 16/11/92

From the Same no 453 of 1/11/92
To the Same no 147 / M.758.92 of 28/11/92
From the Same no 474 of 30/11/92
To the Same no 914 / M.758.92 of 6/6/93

16/11

An Br
24/11/92

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892-93 5349
Pet 257

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 21st November 1892.

Despatched 24th Do

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
D No. 452.

Transferred to the Muzarai Branch
BO
25 11 92

Recd
21/11/92

His Memo No. 579 dated 8th Instant, forwarding petition No. 836 from the inhabitants of Chennapatna, regarding the erection of a Jumma Musijid in the said Town

With reference to the above, has the honor to intimate that the petition has been forwarded to the Muzrai Secretary, to whom a report on this subject was already made in this office No. 430 dated 4th Instant

Raganachal
C

To the Same No. 914
M.7.58.92 26/6/93
41

23

(General Serial No. 30.)

80

1892-93

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Dated 21st November 1892.

Despatched 24th Do

Received

Enclosures No. one

General No.
D No. 453.

In continuation of this office docket No. 430 dated 4th Instant, forwarding petition from Venkatachar and other residents of Chennapatna, protesting against the construction of a Masigid in the said Town, has the honor to forward, herewith, petition received with the Chief Secretary's Memo No. 579 dated 8th Idem, regarding the complaint of Venkatachar and other inhabitants of Chennapatna, praying

To the Same no 147
To the Same no 27 8.

MUZARAYI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From

To The Deputy Commiss. Bangalore Dt.

Dated 28 November 1892

Despatched 28th

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 147 148

Muz: 7 No. 58-92

His Nos 430 & 453 of 14th & 21st inst. respectively reporting on the petition of Venkatachar and other residents of Chennapatna protesting against the construction of a new masjid in the said town.

With reference to the above has the honor to state that the construction

103

1892-93

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the Dew
of Mysore
Muzri Department.

Dated 30th November 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No.

D No. 474.

In continuation of this Office No. 430 dated 4th Instant, reporting on the construction of a Musjid in one of the main streets of the Chennapatna Town, has the honor to forward, herewith, Vernacular papers connected with the Musjid since received from the Sub Division Officer

Hoo ppoor awnde

Dy Commissioner

Refer
as
1/12/92

Giving this up to
Bidadi where I
expect to meet the Sub Div
officer next week
as
2/12/92

Reserved for
personal
inspection
as
30/12/92

To the Same No. 914 / 7.5.92 dt 6/1/93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

PETITION BRANCH DEWAN OF MYSORE

The accompanying Petition No. 2371 dated 3rd March/93 received at the Dewan's Office from Mohadin Sabi, son of Jamal Sabi, Kasaba Channapatna, requesting permission to complete the Mosque he has partly built at Channapatna,

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Muzrai S.

Refer as 20/8/93

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 15th March 1893.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore—17-11-92—3,000.

To D.C. Bangalore 914 on 7.58.92 4/6/93

MYSORE ... OFFICE
PETROL DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. 237/62
DATE 12-3-73

شیخ محی الدین ریشم تاجر

No. 6/1428

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI BRANCH DEWAN OF MYSORE

493

The accompanying Petition No. 2707 dated 17th April /93 received at the Dewan's Office from Shaik Mohadeen Saib of Chennapatna, Bangal District, praying for early orders to complete the rep of Jamma Majid in C-patna

is ~~forwarded, together with its~~ transf ~~enclosures,~~ to the Muzrai Superin dent

AS
15/8/93

Papers are before the Dewan's
Awaiting orders
AS
16/8/93

By Order,

[signature]
for Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE, 11th May 1893

Recd, Entered
S.V.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—17-11-92—3,000.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 2707
DATE 20-4-93

No. Pet 1569

543

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No 3004

dated 13th May 1893 received in the

Dewan's Office from Sheikh Mohadin Sáb of Chennapatna, requesting early orders on his previous petitions applying for permission to repair Jennara masjid at Channapatna,

is ~~forwarded, together with~~ its transferred ~~enclosures~~, to the Muzrai Superintendent

By Order,

M Narain Rao

For Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE 3d
5th June 1893.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—17-11-92—3,000.

D.C. Bangalore
~~the same~~ No 914
M.7.58.92 of 6/6/93

34

MYSORE ... SECTION ... 3004 R
DATE 15-5-93

[illegible]

شیخ محمد الدین رشید تاجر


Houses.
Road No. II
Block of houses.
Road No. III
Lane.
Road No. I.
Houses
G
H
B
School
Musjid
F
D
Thatched Shed
Block of houses.
Road No. IV.
C
Musjid site.
East
N
West

Madurai.

Read:—

Docket No. D 453
dated 21st November 189
from the
of the Bangalore
reporting upon the
from Venkatachar
other residents of th
protesting against
construction of a new
in the said town by
one Syed Mohideen
a mussalmani
at the place.

Read also:—

J

memorandum by the Madurai Superintendent dated 28th March 1892 on the subject:—

About June 1892 one Syed Mohideen, a mussalman merchant of Channapatna began to construct at his own cost, a Masjid in close proximity of a main road in the fort of Channapatna. But on the representation of the Poorpattegar of Varadaraja Swami Temple that the road was one through which the Temple procession accompanied by music

are usually taken, the Deputy Tahildar, is a notice on the 5th July 1892 to Syed Mohideen warning him not proceed with the work until a special therefor has been obt from the Dy Commissioner. The work was accordingly stopped.

2. Upon this were petitions both the Hindus mohomedans to Deputy Commr. subject who them all to the Sub-Division Officer, Cossepel for disposal with observation that,

there was no objection to the construction of the mosque and that if it were a new one it could not have the privilege of stoppage of music before it. The Sub-Division Officer after instituting an enquiry, passed the following order on the 23rd Sept. 1892:—

"The Petitioner is building a new masjid in the fort. The Dy Amildar served on him a notice, ~~the stop~~ the work, as there would be a clash when Hindu processions pass by it. The Govt. order that the new masjids have no right to stop the playing

of music passing in
the street by the
has been duly communic
to the Petitioner. Now he
says that he has no wish
that the playing of
should be stopped
the masjid which he is
going to erect. The Dy
Commissioner's order on
the Petition dated 14
July has also been Com-
-municated to the P
He may build the Masjid
but he will not be entitled
to have music
Hindu processions stopped
before the Masjid."

3. The Sub-
Officer submitted a
further report on the subje
to the Deputy
on the 16th October 1892

which runs thus:—

(1) "This morning, I inspected the site at Channapatna fort, where a new Masjid is to be built. Foundations have been laid up for the building. It is situated in the main street where the car procession of the Temple takes place, and amidst houses belonging to the Hindus."

(2) "At the time of my inspection a few Mohomedans, who were present on the site, said that there was an old Masjid on the site

and that they were en-
-titled to the play
music being stopped
in front of the new
masjid. This claim is
altogether unfounded
The statement of S
Mohideen who is
the Masjid is annex
for information. There
had been an old Ashurkh
at the place and not a
masjid. At no time
music had been stopped
while passing by the
Ashur Khana".

(3) "The Govt. order
that the playing of music
will not be stopped
the new masjid in
has been duly communic
to the Hindus as well as
the Mohomedans, as

the Deputy Amildar reports, by beat of tom tom and by written notices, but the Mohomedans appear to have refused the written notice. The refused notice with the Amildar's report is herewith submitted".

104. (4) "The erection of the building is allowed on the distinct understanding that the Mohomedans will not be entitled to interfere in any way with the Temple processions passing by the new building. The police will watch their future conduct and legal steps will be taken if necessary".

4. The above order

was concurred in by the Dy Commr. Mr. Mir Shujayet Ali.

5. Meanwhile a petition was received in the Muzrau of the Dewan's Office, from the merchants and others of Chennapatna, representing that, if the of a new Masjid on a road used for Temple processions be permitted, much disturbance would ensue. This petition was referred to the Dy Commr. for report and on its receipt, the construction of the Masjid was ordered to be stopped, pending final disposal of the question by the Govt.

6. On the 25th. instant

I proceeded to Chamapatna and inspected the locality. A sketch of the new Masjid is attached hereto for the purpose of easy reference.

7. The new Masjid measures 30 × 25 feet and its walls have come up to 12 feet high. A small portion of the wood work of the roof has been fixed. The terracing has not been started as yet. The Temple processions after leaving the Temple C proceed along the roads No. 1 and 2 and then along road No 3 where the new Masjid under construction is

situated and return to the Temple by road No 4. Seeing that the 4 streets indicated in the plan are particularly Hindu Quarters, the number of Hindu houses being 6 times more than Mohomedans ones, it would have been better if the construction of the Masjid had been at-once stopped at the very commencement for want of special permission of the District Officer as required in the late Chief Commrs Circular No. $\frac{2528}{72}$ D/ 22nd August 1871, which clearly lays down,

" that no buildings intended to be used as places of worship

by any class of the Community, should be erected on any public Street or thoroughfare in any town or village without the previous sanction of the District Officer in each case";

and that the old "order forbidding the use of music by religious processions when passing to a place of worship of another persuasion, will not be held applicable in the case of any building of the nature under adverts, that may hereafter erected in a public Street or thoroughfare without due sanction".

8. But unfortunately the building has progressed to some extent and the owner or rather Syd Mohideen who is erecting the Masjid for the benefit of his community must have already spent out 4 or 5 hundred rupees. I would not therefore recommend its removal, but will impose upon him certain conditions to which I would refer later on.

9. Under the terms of the late Chf. Comm'rs' above adverted to, the Mohomedan Community cannot certainly claim privilege of stoppage of music in front

Masjid in question, the same having been constructed subsequent to the date of the said ruling. But in process of time, it is possible the age of the Masjid may be contested and disputes of violent character may consequently arise, leading not improbably to acts endangering public tranquillity. To prevent all such disputes in future among the two communities, I would propose that Syed Mohideen be called upon to comply with the following conditions

before he can be
to proceed with ~~any~~
execution of the
work of the building.

Stet.

~~To be let off on fair copy.~~

x Firstly — A tablet
shewing the year of
construction should be
fixed in a conspicuous
part of the Masjid so
that the exact date
of its construction may
not be contested on
any future occasion.

Secondly — The Its main
entrance of the masjid should be
shifted from road No. 3
to the lane connecting the
main roads No. 1 and 3.
The masjid as now
situated fortunately
faces East, viz, towards

the lane. There can therefore be no possible objection to the opening of the gateway also in the same direction. To be more clear, the opening F on the main road no: 3 where a gateway is now intended to be set up. should be walled up and the main entrance opened either at G. or H in the lane, as may be found convenient. If the latter place be preferred on the ground that it would be directly opposite to the Masjid, the Hindustani School B, a small tiled building within the

Masjid enclosure may be removed to T.

10. If the main entrance be opened towards the lane as now proposed, the question of stoppage of music when Hindu processions move along the main road No. 3 will scarcely arise on any future occasion.

No. 914 R
Mus: 7. 58. 92 & 6/6/93
En 1 plan & file
V.P.

Order thereon No.
D J Bangalore 6th June 93

After
of all the
connected with the con-
-struction of the Masjid
the Govt. are of opinion

that in according sanction therefor the District Officer did not exercise a wise discretion. By the orders of the late Chief Comm^rs No: $\frac{2528}{72}$ D/ 22nd August 1871, the District Officer has the power to give sanction to the erection of a place of worship on any public street or thoroughfare but it is his duty to exer-cise this power in such a way that at no future time could there arise any occasion for dispute and bad feeling between the various sections of the Community. It is true that the permission given to build the Masjid in this case

is upon the express condition that a procession of any other religion may continue to play music when passing in front of it. This condition may come to be forgotten and its existence called in question at some future time, and there would then arise disputes and consequent unfriendly feelings between the Hindu and Mohomedan sections of the community. Supposing that the prescribed condition is never called in question but is always strictly enforced, the very fact that the condition exists and is enforced would be a source of irritation to the Mohomedan section. In matters of

religion, people's feelings and sentiments are never guided by strict reason or any consideration of the rights and obligations involved. If a procession belonging to a person or persons of one religion plays music before a place of worship belonging to another religion, the act is regarded by everyone belonging to the latter religion as a wanton act of intentional disrespect to his religious feelings and his anger will not be the less, because the act was done in exercise of an existing right. It is therefore most

~~un~~desirable
District Officer
~~ever~~ create a right so
obnoxious in itself and
inimical to the
and maintenance of
feelings between the various
sections. The Govt are
sure that no enlightened
member of any community
whatsoever can feel
any satisfaction in
possessing a right,
the exercise of which is
necessarily attended with
pain to the feelings of his
neighbours.

2 Under such
circumstances the Govt.
have no hesitation in
disapproving of the permission
which has been given to the

Construction of the Masjid in question, but as unfortunately the building has already considerably advanced, the Govt. think it undesirable to direct its removal to a more eligible place. It will be sufficient for all interests if the gate be placed on the East so as to open into an existing side-street instead of on the South as now proposed to open into the main road on which Temple and other Hindu processions usually pass. The Dy Commr. will immediately cause the alteration of gate as above.

This alteration will cause no in whatever to any p and affords the solution of the questions which have raised. A plan of the alteration above ordered will be forw to the Dy Commr. Muzrai

Secretary.

To
The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District
returning the ~~Enclos~~.
Ver: Correspondence

NK

Draft.

From D.C. Bacq above 9.8 8/7/49

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Muzarayi),—dated 6th June 1893.

READ :—

Docket No. D. 453, dated 21st November 1892, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, reporting upon the petition from Venkatachar and other residents of Channapatna, protesting against the construction of a new Masjid in the said town by one Syed Mohidin, a Mussalman merchant of the place.

READ ALSO :—

The following memorandum by the Muzarayi Superintendent, dated 28th March 1893 on the subject :—

About June 1892, one Syed Mohidin, a Mussalman merchant of Channapatna, began to construct at his own cost, a Masjid in close proximity of a main road in the fort of Channapatna. But on the representation of the Parpattegar of the Varadarajaswami Temple that the road was one through which the Temple processions accompanied by music are usually taken, the Deputy Amildar issued a notice on the 5th July 1892 to Syed Mohidin warning him not to proceed with the work until a special permission thereof has been obtained from the Deputy Commissioner. The work was accordingly stopped.

2. Upon this, there were petitions both from the Hindus and the Muhammadans to the Deputy Commissioner on the subject, who referred them all to the Sub-Division Officer, Closepet, for disposal, with the observation that there was no objection to the construction of the Mosque and that, if it were a new one, it could not have the privilege of stoppage of music before it. The Sub-Division Officer, after instituting an enquiry, passed the following order on the 23rd September 1892 :—

> "The Petitioner is building a new Masjid in the fort. The Deputy Amildar served on him a notice to stop the work, as there would be a clash when Hindu processions pass by it. The Government order that the new Masjids have no right to stop the playing of music passing in the street by the Masjid, has been duly communicated to the petitioner. Now he says that he has no wish that the playing of music should be stopped before the Masjid, which he is going to erect. The Deputy Commissioner's order on the petition, dated 14th July, has also been communicated to the petitioner. He may build the Masjid, but he will not be entitled to have music accompanying Hindu processions stopped before the Masjid."

3. The Sub-Division Officer submitted a further report on the subject to the Deputy Commissioner on the 16th October 1892, which runs thus :—

i. "This morning, I inspected the site at Channapatna fort, where a new Masjid is to be built. Foundations have been laid up for the building. It is situated in the main street where the car procession of the temple takes place, and amidst houses belonging to the Hindus."

ii. "At the time of my inspection, a few Muhammadans who were present on the site said that there was an old Masjid on the site and that they were entitled to the play of music being stopped in front of the new Masjid. This claim is altogether unfounded. The statement of Syed Mohidin who is erecting the Masjid is annexed for information. There had been an old Ashurkhana at the place and not a Masjid. At no time music had been stopped while passing by the Ashurkhana."

iii. "The Government order that the playing of music will not be stopped before the new Masjid in question has been duly communicated to the Hindus as well as the Muhammadans, as the Deputy Amildar reports by beat of Tom Tom and by written notices, but the Muhammadans appear to have refused the written notice. The refused notice with the Amildar's report is herewith submitted."

iv. "The erection of the building is allowed on the distinct understanding that the Muhammadans will not be entitled to interfere in any way with the temple processions passing by the new building. The Police will watch their future conduct and legal steps will be taken, if necessary."

4. The above order was concurred in by the Deputy Commissioner, Mr. Mir Shujayet Ali.

5. Meanwhile a petition was received in the Muzarayi Department of the Dewan's Office, from the merchants and others of Channapatna, representing that, if the construction of a new Masjid on a road used for Temple processions be permitted, much disturbance would ensue. This petition was referred to the Deputy Commissioner for report, and the construction of the Masjid was ordered to be stopped, pending final disposal of the question by the Government.

6. On the 25th instant I proceeded to Channapatna and inspected the locality. A sketch of the new Masjid is attached hereto for easy reference.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Muzarayi),—dated 6th June 1893.

7. The new Masjid measures 30 × 25 feet and its walls have come up to 12 feet high. A small portion of the wood work of the roof has been fixed. The terracing has not been started as yet. The Temple processions after leaving the Temple *C* proceed along the roads No. 1 and 2 and then along road No. 3 where the new Masjid under construction is situated and return to the Temple by road No. 4. Seeing that the 4 streets indicated in the plan are particularly Hindu quarters, the number of Hindu houses being 6 times more than Muhammadan ones, it would have been better if the construction of the Masjid had been at once stopped at the very commencement for want of special permission of the District Officer as required in the late Chief Commissioner's Circular No. 2528 – 72, dated 22nd August 1871, which clearly lays down,

> "that no buildings intended to be used as places of worship by any class of the community, should be erected on any public street or thoroughfare in any town or village without the previous sanction of the District Officer in each case"; and that "the old order forbidding the use of music by religious processions when passing to a place of worship of another persuasion, will not be held applicable in the case of any building of the nature under advertence, that may be hereafter erected in a public street or thoroughfare without due sanction."

8 But unfortunately the building has progressed to some extent and the owner or rather Syed Mohidin, who is erecting the Masjid for the benefit of his community, must have already spent on it 4 or 5 hundred rupees I would not therefore recommend its removal, but will impose upon him certain conditions, to which I would refer later on

9. Under the terms of the late Chief Commissioner's ruling above adverted to, the Muhammadan community cannot certainly claim the privilege of stoppage of music in front of the Masjid in question, the same having been constructed subsequent to the date of the said ruling. But in process of time, it is possible the age of the Masjid may be contested and disputes of violent character may consequently arise, leading not improbably to acts endangering public tranquillity. To prevent all such disputes in future among the two communities, I would propose that Syed Mohidin be called upon to comply with the following conditions before he can be allowed to proceed with the execution of the remaining work of the building :—

Firstly.—A tablet showing the year of construction should be fixed in a conspicuous part of the Masjid so that the exact date of its construction may not be contested on any future occasion.

Secondly.—Its main entrance should be shifted from road No. 3 to the lane connecting the main roads No, 1 and 3. The Masjid, as now situated, fortunately faces east, *viz.*, towards the lane. There can therefore be no possible objection to the opening of the gateway also in the same direction. To be more clear, the opening *F* on the main road No. 3 where a gateway is now intended to be set up, should be walled up and the main entrance opened either at *G* or *H* in the lane as may be found convenient. If the latter place be preferred on the ground that it would be directly opposite to the Masjid, the Hindustani school *B* a small tiled building within the Masjid enclosure, may be removed to *J*.

10. If the main entrance be opened towards the lane as now proposed, the question of stoppage of music when Hindu processions move along the main road No. 3, will scarcely arise on any future occasion.

No. 914—Muz. F. 58-92, dated, Bangalore, 6th June 1893.

Order thereon.—After consideration of all the circumstances connected with the construction of the Masjid, the Government are of opinion that, in according sanction therefor, the District Officer did not exercise a wise discretion. By the orders of the late Chief Commissioner's No. 2528—72, dated 22nd August 1871, the District Officer has the power to give sanction to the erection of a place of worship on any public street or thoroughfare, but it is his duty to exercise this power in such a way that at no future time could there arise any occasion for dispute and bad feeling between the various sections of the community. It is true that the permission given to build the Masjid in this case is upon the express condition that a procession of any other religion may continue to play music when passing in front of it. This condition may come to be forgotten and its existence called in question at some future time, and there would then arise disputes and consequent unfriendly feelings between the Hindu and Muhammadan sections of the community. Supposing that the prescribed condition is never called in question but is always strictly enforced, the very fact that the condition exists and is enforced would be a source of constant irritation to the Muhammadan section. In matters of religion, people's feelings and sentiments are never guided by strict reason or any consideration of the rights and obligations involved. If a procession

belonging to a person or persons of one religion plays music before a place of worship belonging to another religion, the act is regarded by every one belonging to the latter religion as a wanton act of intentional disrespect to his religious feelings, and his anger will not be the less, because the act was done in exercise of an existing right. It is therefore most undesirable that the District Officer should ever create a right so obnoxious in itself and inimical to the growth and maintenance of friendly feelings between the various sections. The Government are sure that no enlightened member of any community whatsoever can feel any satisfaction in possessing a right, the exercise of which is necessarily attended with pain to the feelings of his neighbours.

2. Under such circumstances the Government have no hesitation in disapproving of the permission which has been given to the construction of the Masjid in question, but, as unfortunately the building has already considerably advanced, the Government think it undesirable to direct its removal to a more eligible place. It will be sufficient for all interests, if the gate be placed on the east so as to open into an existing side-street instead of on the south as now proposed to open into the main road on which, Temple and other Hindu processions usually pass. The Deputy Commissioner will immediately cause the alteration of gate as above. This alteration will cause no inconvenience whatever to any party and affords the best solution of the conflicting questions which have been raised. A plan showing the alteration above ordered will be forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner by the Muzarayi Superintendent.

Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, returning the Vernacular correspondence.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.

Exd.—K.S.

1893.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

MUZARAYI

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 6th June 1893

No. 914.
Muz F. 58-92.

Passing final orders in regard to the construction of a new Masjid at Channapatna.

Mysore Govt C Press, Bangalore—22 6 93—120.

(General Serial No. 30)

OFFICE OF MUZRAI BRANCH DEWAN OF MYSORE

9

1893 - 94

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Muzrai Department

Dated 3rd } July 1893

Despatched 4th }

Received 5

Enclosures No. two

General No.

D No. 9.

In reply to Govt Pro No 914 d 16-6-93 Muz 7-58-92

SUBJECT.

Submitting explanation & requesting reconsideration of the view taken by Govt as to Dy Commrs proceedings re construction of Mosque at Chennapatna

Register & Lbm as 7/7 93

Submitted to H Dewan Frondes

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

the Same No 167 Mu 7.58.92 d 13-8-93

No. 9.

Encls. two

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
Dated 3rd/4 July 1893.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To.

The Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of the Government No 914 Muzrai 758-92 dated 6th June 1893, regarding the new mosque at Chennapatna and to submit that orders to give effect to the directions therein contained, have issued.

2. Finding that the Proceedings have been communicated to

the press I feel it is due to myself that I should very submissively beg the Government to reconsider the censure passed on me. The order says that I "did not use a wise discretion". My order thus condemned, the Muzrai Superintendent in para 2 of his memorandum, read in the above Proceedings, describes as follows.

"Upon this, there were petitions both from the Hindus and the Muhammadans to the Deputy Commissioner, on the subject, who referred them all to the Sub Divisional Officer, Closepett, for disposal with the observation that there was no objection to the Construction of the mosque and that if it were a new one

it could not have the privilege of stoppage of music before it" The Sub Divisional officer disposed of the dispute on the above lines, and reported it to me and I concurred in the disposal.

3 Evidently the Inspector Superintendent, and the Government are under the impression that I am the author of the above order and that owing to it, the construction of the mosque, which had been stopped by the Deputy Amildar, was proceeded with. If such were the case, it would be presumptuous on my part to try to justify my conduct after it had been judged by the Government. But the case

is not such a my first order on the subject is dated 14th September 1892. On the 25th August 1892, I received the order of the Government dated 23rd August 1892 on Petition No 272 from the Hindu Inhabitants of Chenna-patna, objecting to the construction of the mosque, in question, on the ground that it was being constructed amidst Hindu Streets where Hindu processions would usually pass. By this order, the above petition was "forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, with the remark that new places of religious worship will not acquire the privilege of stoppage of processional music

in front of them under existing
rules. The builders should ac-
cordingly be warned of this
and the same also communicated
to the Petitioners or others interested
The Government will thus be
pleased to see that what I
did was in compliance of the
orders I had received. As
regards the practical effect
of my order, I shall quote from
a letter from Mr. C. Raghavachar
the present Sub Divisional Officer
dated 14th June 1893. He says,
"I therefore searched the records
and ascertained the following
(1) "The foundation of the
mosque had been built by
5th July 192, when the Dy Amildar
upon a representation made

by the Hindus, chiefly the servants of Vanda raja Swami Temple, issued orders to the Sheikdar apparently on the Revenue side."

(2) " As may have been expected the work was never stopped. They made a show of remaining quiet for three or four days and went on as before."

* * *

(4) "Before this order, (the order of Government stopping construction pending final orders referred to in the Inuzrai Superintendent's memo, para 5) was issued, the walls had been built and some beams for the roof put up. The building now remains in the same state."

Thus, my order produced no practical effect so far as the progress of work is concerned

4. There is only one more point to which I respectfully request the indulgent attention of the Government. I had the order of the Government dated 23rd August 1892 above referred to and the Chief Commissioner's Circular No. $\frac{2528}{72}$ dated 22nd August 1871, which the Mustroo Superintendent quotes in his Memorandum. The former indicated the attitude the Government expected me to assume towards the dispute and the latter prescribing the consequence arising from the construction of a place of worship without due sanction, simply withdraws the privilege of stoppage of music. In the

Madras Presidency the only law under which a District Magistrate can proceed in a matter like the one under consideration is the provisions in the Criminal Procedure Code, in regard to the preventive powers of a Magistrate. In the face of the orders of the Government, above alluded to, which were passed on a consideration of all that the Hindus urged, I did not consider it advisable to go beyond the Chief Commissioner's order. It was very unfortunate for me that the Muzrai Superintendent was presumably unaware of the order of the 23rd August 1892, which was issued from the Chief Secretary's Office and

which

12 which I enclose for reference

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant.
in Thujaat Ali
Dy Commissioner.
4 July 93
[illegible]

Read again
Govt Pro. No. 914
Mus. 7-58-92 dy

6th June 1893, passing final orders in regd. to the construction of a new Marjid at Chennapatna.

Read also

Letter No D. 9. dy 3rd July 93 from the Dy Comr. Bangalore Dt, submitting an explanation of the ~~previous~~ action taken by him in the matter and requesting a re-consideration of the view taken by Govt of the same.

No. 167
Mus 7-58-92 dy 13/14/8/93
Enr

Order thereon:—

The Govt have duly considered the facts reported in the above letter

and while still adhering to the views expressed in the order of the 6th June last as to the way in which Dt Officers Shd. exercise the discretion rested in them as regards the erection of new places of worship on public streets and thoroughfares, they are of opinion that in the particular case ~~under~~ in question the action of Mr. Shujayet Alikhan was not in the circumstances open to animadversion

Secy

To
The Dy Comr.
Bangalore Dt
returning the enclosures

MUZARAYI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
Muzarayi. F. No.

Dft

To the Lands Co. 5/88-92

75

Gen Serial No. 30.]

507

1895

From

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

To The Muzroi Superintendent
Bangalore.

Dated 5

Despatched March 1895.

Received 5/3

Enclosures No. 1 & a file

General No. 13490
L F No. 137-94.

In forwarding herewith for perusal and return letter no: 973 dated 14 Ultimo, from the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore (with its enclosures) proposing the acquisition of the site of the Hindustani School in the Chennapatna Fort, in view to straightening the lane to the east of the Masjid newly

The Samaks 810/5.8.92 & 8/3/95

erected there, has the honor to invite his attention to the sketch in the file, and to request that he will favor the Government with any remarks he may have to offer regarding the proposed straightening and widening of the lane, with reference to the views expressed by Government in Proceedings dated 6 June 1893, No. 914, Muz: 7 58-92-2. An early reply is requested

By Order.

W. Narasimhaiyangar,
General Secretary.

Compd MV.

Copd

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1895

MUZARAI.

From The Supt.

To The General Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated 8 "

Despatched 9 " March 95.

Received

Enclosures No. Haple

General No. 810 R.

Muzarai F. No. 58-92

Sir

Adverting to your No 13490 / L7 137.94 d/5th March 95 asking for an expression of my views regarding the Dy. Comm's proposals to widen and straighten the lane in front of the newly constructed mosque in the Chennapatna Fort, I have the honor to state that I see no objection to the proposal being adopted in case the Mohamaden com-

to the removal

from the same No 21425-6 / L.7137. 28/5/95

of the Hindustani School building which is now situated within the mosque compound. The widening and straightening of the lane as proposed by the Dy. Commr. will in no way affect the interests of the other communities, but on the other hand it will add to the apperance of the mosque.

2. I would however suggest that in carrying out this measure, the Dy Commr. be particularly asked to see that a substantial wall is built on the Southern side of the mosque compound so as to separate the mosque from the main road, and that no entrance of any kind to the mosque is opened in that wall, the entrance gate being placed on the east so as to face the lane in question as laid down in para 2 of Govt. Pro. No. 914 / Mwrj 58-92 dd 6th June 1893.

3. During my last inspection which was a few months ago, I found only a low mud wall seperating the mosque from the main the South. The construction of this wall had been commenced sometime previous to my visit but subsequently ~~aband~~ abandoned in an in state. I have therefore thought it proper to bring matter to the special notice of Govt.

I have sent

4. The enclosures to the docket under reply are herewith returned —

Urgent

190

4310.

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

To

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore in the Muzrai Dept.

Dated

Despatched 20 May 1905.

Received

General No. 10763
No. MU

ENCLOSURE No.

Has the honor to state that the office draft of the late Chief Commissioner's Circular No.2528-72 dated the 22nd August 1871 in re the construction of buildings intended to be used as places of worship by any class of the community was given to the Ex-officio Muzrai Secretary on the 30th November 1892 by Mr.Bride, the Superintendent of the Genl Records of this Secretariat probably for reference in connection with the disposal of the petition from the residents of Channapatna protesting against the construction of a new masjid in the town and to request that the circular referred to may be kindly returned

G. R. S. 29A.

[illegible] office as the same

[illegible] required for reference.

By Order

[illegible]

For Secretary.

19[illegible]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
Muzrai Department.

To The Secretary, Rev & Jt Deptts

Dated 25th May 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 4389
Muzrai F. No. 58-92

Enclosure No.

His No. 10743 D/ Ml
20 May 1905 requesting the return of the late Chief Commr's Cir No 2528-72 D/22/8/71

In reply has the honor to state that ~~[illegible]~~ on reference to the Issue Book of this Office it is ~~[illegible]~~ seen that on a requisition for the return of the Circular the same it was returned to the General Records on the 19th February 1895 ~~[illegible]~~ with some remarks.

2. Reference is also invited to Govt. Pro: No. 9200-7 / G 2167 D/6th December 1894 ~~passing orders~~ applying the principles enunciated in Govt. Pro. No. Pro. No. 914 d/ 6th June 1893 - MUZ F 58-92 to all new places of worship

By order

Dft

Secretary

22/5/05

JS
22/5/05

[Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1895

MUZARAI.

From The General Secretary

To The Inuzarai Deptt

Dated 28th May 1895

Despatched

Received 28/5

Enclosures No.

General No. 2142 5-6
Muzarai F. No. 137-94

Authorizing the Deputy Commr. Bangalore, to acquire the site of the Hindustani School in the Chennapatna Fort, for widening the lane in front of the newly constructed Mosque.

as
28/5/88
ua

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore

Read:

Docket dated 10 May 1895, No. 1354, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, stating with reference to this Office No. 13489/S.F. 137-94 that the School therein referred to is a Government institution, but that the building belongs to the Mahomedan Community, who have agreed to accept Rs: 100/- as compensation to rebuild the School in another place.

(2) Letter d/ 8 March 1895, No: 810, from the Superintendent of Mysore stating, with reference to this Office No: 13490/S.F. 137-94 dated 5 March 1895, that there is no objection to the Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's proposals being adopted to widen and straighten the lane in front of the newly constructed Mosque in the Chennapatna Fort

in case the Mahomedan Community agree to the removal of the Hindustani School building from its present locality.

Order thereon No:- 21425-6 & 137-94

D/ Bangalore 28 May 1895.

The draft declaration for the acquisition of the site of the Hindustani School at Chennapatna, submitted with Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's No. 973, d/ 14th February 1895, will be forwarded to the Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication in the next issue.

(2) The Deputy Commissioner is authorized to acquire the site under the Land Acquisition Regulation.

(3) A copy of the Muzrai Superintendent's letter No: 810 dated 8 March 1895 will be forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore for information, and for

needful action being taken in regard to the suggestions contained in para 2 thereof.

[illegible]
General Secretary.

Comp[illegible]
[illegible]

To,
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore.
[illegible] of Muzrai Supt's letter
[illegible]ntendent of Muzrai in
Mysore.

Copy [illegible]

AA

G.R.S. 29A]

Urgent

190 .

4901

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore in the Muzrai Dept.

Dated

Despatched 20th June 1905.

Received

General No. 11630
Genl No (4710704)

Enclosure No.

His docket No.4389 dated the 25th. May 1905 stating that the late Chief Commissioner's Circular No.2528-72 dated the 22nd. August 1871 was returned to the General and Revenue Secretariat on the 19th February 1895.

Has the honour to state that the Circular in question was not sent back to this office on the 19th. February 1895, as will be seen from the marginally noted remarks made by the Muzroi Department on the requisition for the paper sent from this office. Under these circumstances it is requested that the Circular in question may be kindly

"The Circular referred to is not forthcoming." 18-2-95.

"A search has again been made. e reference is not forthcoming."

MGCPB—20 000—16-2-05

kindly caused to be searched for, and sent to this office at a very early date.

By order

J. Ramsay

For Secretary.

1905-6.

From The Secretary, Muzrai Dep

To The Secretary to Govt

General & Revenue Depts

Dated 10 Bangalore

Despatched July 1905.

Received

General No. 89

Muzrai No. 58-92

Enclosure No.

With reference to his Docket No. 11630 / Ml: No. 471 of 1904 D 20th June 1905, has the honor to request that the file of correspondence ending with Government order No. 9200-7 / G. 2167 D 6th December 1894, applying certain principles for the Construction of new places of worship belonging to persons of any

religious persuasion ...

whatsoever referred to in

para 2 of this Office Docket

No 4389 Dt 25th May 1905
Muz. 58-92

may be kindly caused

to be forwarded to this

Office for reference.

By O.
[illegible] 7/7/05

Secretary.

[illegible]
6/7/05

G.R.S. 29A]

1905.

348
21.7.05

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Secretary to the Government of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore in the Muzrai Dept.

Dated

Despatched 21st July 1905.

Received

General No. 868
Genl No (471-04)-3
Enclosure No. 2

His docket No.89/Muz.F.No.58-92 dated the 10th. July 1905 calling for the file of papers ending with Government Proceedings No. 9200/G.2167 dated the 6th. December 1894 in re to the construction of new places of worship.

Has the honour to forward the marginally noted papers as requested which may be kindly returned to this office at an early date.

By order

For Secretary.

1. Copy of petition dated November 1894 from the inhabitants of Kunigal with the Secretary's note and the Dewan's order dated 1-12-94 thereon.
2. Draft of Government Proceedings No.9200-7/G.2167 dated 6-12-94.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

1905—1906

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq. B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Muzrai Department

To The Secretary to
General & Revenue Deptt

Dated 27

Despatched

Received

General No. 583

Muz 58-92

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to return herewith the marginally noted papers which were received his Docket no. 866
ml. no (471-04)-3
Dy 21st July 1905.

Copy of Petn Dy Nov: 1894 from the inhabitants of Kunigal with the Secretary's note & the Dewan's order Dy 1-12-94 thereon.

Dft of Govt Pro: no 9200-7
G 2167

Dy 6.12.1894

B.O.

Dft [signature] 26/7/05

Se

[signature]
24/7/05

G.R.S. 29A]

1905.

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

[illegible]

Dated [illegible]

Despatched 2-8-05

Received

General No. 1248
Genl No. —

Enclosure No.

His docket No 583 dated the 27th July 1905 returning the papers ending with Government Proceedings No. 8200-7 / G. 2167 dated the 6th December 1894.

Has the honor to invite attention to this office docket No. 11630 / R. dated the 20th June 1905 and to request that the Chief Commissioner's Circular of the 22nd August 1871 therein referred to may be kindly returned to this office at a very early date.

By order

For Secretary.

MGCPB--20,000—16-2 05

MUZRAI

19 —19

FROM The Secretary, Muzrai

To The Secretary to Govt

General & Revenue De

Dated 9

Despatched Bangalore

Received

General No. 778

Muzrai F. No. 58-92

Enclosure

With reference to his

Docket no 1248/Ml. dy 2nd

August 1905 requesting

the return of

~~that~~ the Chief Convrs Co

of the 22nd August 18

has the honor to requ

the file no

that L. F. 137-94 may

kindly caused to be fet

ed to this office for re

B.O.

Dfh 5/8

Ks

5/8/05

MGCPB—2,000—9-6-02

Secret

1905.

Mr. P. [illegible]

872
21.8.05

From

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Depts.

To

Secretary to the Govt. of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore in the
Muzroi Department.

Dated

Despatched 21st August 1905.

Received

General No. 1819
No. [illegible]

ENCLOSURE No. 1 file.

His docket No.778 dated the 9th. August 1905 requesting that L.F.137-94 may be forwarded to him for reference.

Has the honour to forward here with the file referred to with a request that the same may be kindly returned to this office when done with.

By order

[illegible]

For Secretary.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Muzarayi),—dated 6th June 1893.

READ —

Docket No. D 453, dated 21st November 1892, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, reporting upon the petition from Venkatachar and other residents of Channapatna, protesting against the construction of a new Masjid in the said town by one Syed Mohidin, a Mussalman merchant of the place.

READ ALSO —

The following memorandum by the Muzarayi Superintendent, dated 28th March 1893, on the subject :—

About June 1892, one Syed Mohidin, a Mussalman merchant of Channapatna, began to construct at his own cost, a Masjid in close proximity of a main road in the fort of Channapatna. But on the representation of the Parpattegar of the Varadarajaswami Temple that the road was one through which the Temple processions accompanied by music are usually taken, the Deputy Amildar issued a notice on the 5th July 1892, to Syed Mohidin warning him not to proceed with the work until a special permission therefor has been obtained from the Deputy Commissioner. The work was accordingly stopped.

2. Upon this, there were petitions both from the Hindus and the Muhammadans to the Deputy Commissioner on the subject, who referred them all to the Sub-Division Officer, Closepet, for disposal, with the observation that there was no objection to the construction of the Mosque and that, if it were a new one, it could not have the privilege of stoppage of music before it. The Sub-Division Officer, after instituting an enquiry, passed the following order on the 23rd September 1892 —

> "The Petitioner is building a new Masjid in the fort. The Deputy Amildar served on him a notice to stop the work, as there would be a clash when Hindu processions pass by it. The Government order that the new Masjids have no right to stop the playing of music passing in the street by the Masjid, has been duly communicated to the petitioner. Now he says that he has no wish that the playing of music should be stopped before the Masjid, which he is going to erect. The Deputy Commissioner's order on the petition, dated 14th July, has also been communicated to the petitioner. He may build the Masjid, but he will not be entitled to have music accompanying Hindu processions stopped before the Masjid."

3. The Sub-Division Officer submitted a further report on the subject to the Deputy Commissioner on the 16th October 1892, which runs thus :—

i. "This morning, I inspected the site at Channapatna fort, where a new Masjid is to be built. Foundations have been laid up for the building. It is situated in the main street where the car procession of the temple takes place, and amidst houses belonging to the Hindus."

ii. "At the time of my inspection, a few Muhammadans who were present on the site said that there was an old Masjid on the site and that they were entitled to the play of music being stopped in front of the new Masjid. This claim is altogether unfounded. The statement of Syed Mohidin who is erecting the Masjid is annexed for information. There had been an old Ashurkhana at the place and not a Masjid. At no time music had been stopped while passing by the Ashurkhana."

iii. "The Government order that the playing of music will not be stopped before the new Masjid in question has been duly communicated to the Hindus as well as the Muhammadans, as the Deputy Amildar reports by beat of Tom Tom and by written notices, but the Muhammadans appear to have refused the written notice. The refused notice with the Amildar's report is herewith submitted."

iv. "The erection of the building is allowed on the distinct understanding that the Muhammadans will not be entitled to interfere in any way with the temple processions passing by the new building. The Police will watch their future conduct and legal steps will be taken, if necessary.

4. The above order was concurred in by the Deputy Commissioner, Mr. Mir Shujayet Ali.

5. Meanwhile a petition was received in the Muzarayi Department of the Dewan's Office, from the merchants and others of Channapatna, representing that, if the construction of a new Masjid on a road used for Temple processions be permitted, much disturbance would ensue. This petition was referred to the Deputy Commissioner for report, and the construction of the Masjid was ordered to be stopped, pending final disposal of the question by the Government.

6. On the 25th instant I proceeded to Channapatna and inspected the locality. A sketch of the new Masjid is attached hereto for easy reference.

Very urgent

190

From The Secretary Insp. Dept.

To The Deputy Comr.

Bangalore. Draft.

Dated 26th

Despatched August 1905

Received

General No. 1047

No.

Enclosure. No.

Has the honor to request that a copy of the late Chief Commissioner's Cir. No 2528-72 D 22nd Augs 1871 may be kindly forwarded to this Office for reference at an early date.

regarding the construction of buildings intended to be used as places of worship by any class of the Community.

BO

Dfk 26/8/05

Se

25/8/05

190

From The ~~Deptn~~ Secy, Muz Deptt

To The Secretary to Govt Genl & Rev: Departts.

Dated 26th August 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 1048
No.

Enclosure No. L.F. 137-94

Has the honor to return herewith L.F. 137-94 (opening gate of the masjid to the east in Channapatna municipality and removing the Hindustani School to another site.) which was received with no 1819/ml Dy 21st August 1905.

2. The late Chief Convrs Cir no 2528-72 Dy 22nd August 1871 required in his Docket no 1248/ml Dy 2nd August 1905 is not forthcoming

in the Records. ~~but the~~ The
Deputy Commissioner of
the Bangalore District has
been requested to furnish
a copy of the same and on receipt a copy will
be furnished to the Govt & Rev Superintendent

B.O.

Dfh [signature] 25/8/05

Secretary.

[signature]
25/8/05

1905. 6

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Muzrai Deptt.

Dated 18.

Despatched November 1905.

Received

General No.

M.S No. 43

Enclosure No.

22.30
26.11.05

20.11.05

Ans A

Please put up a draft forwarding a copy of this letter to the Secy, Govt & Rev Departments, in continuation of this Office Docket no 1048 dated 26th August 1905

22/11/05

His No 1047 of 26. 8. 05.

States that the Chief Commrs circular re the construction of building intended to be used as places of ~~work~~ worship by any class of community is not available in this Office.

Bangalore District.
Deputy Commissioner's Office.

M.S. No. 43

Bangalore 18th November 1905.

From, D. Devaraj Urs Esq
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To L. Anantasami Rao Esq B.A
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Mujrai Department.

Sir, With reference to your docket No. 1047 dated 26th August 1905, calling for a copy of Chief Commissioner's Circular No. 2528-72 dated 22nd August 1871, regarding the construction of buildings intended to be used as places of worship by any class of community, I have the honor to state that the reference called for in the above is not available in this office.

I beg to request that a copy of

of the same may be sent to this Office for reference, if the same can be had from any of the other Districts.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

for Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Muz. I A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department

To The Deputy Commissioners
Mysore, Kolar Tumkur, Hass
Shimoga, Chitaldrug & Kadur

Dated

Despatched 29th November 1905

Received

General ~~No.~~ Cir
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request
a copy of the late chief Comrs
Cir No 2528-72 dt 22nd Aug 1st 18
regarding the construction of
buildings intended to be used
as places of worship by any
of the Community may be
forwarded to this office for
~~at an early date~~ if B.O.
in his office

Dft.

Secretary

25/11/05

M.G.C.P.B.—1,000—19-7 05.

101

1905-06

2500

From
P. Q. W. Wetherall Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

To
S. Anantasami Rao Esq B.A.
Secy to the Govt of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore
Murzai Dept., Bangalore

Dated 2nd December 1905
Despatched
Received

General No. 547
No. 5

Enclosure No One

His Circular No 2518-24 dated 29th ultimo requesting a copy of the late Chief Commissioner's Cir No 2528-72 dated 22nd August 1871 regarding the construction of buildings intended to be used as places of worship &c.

Has the honour to forward herewith a copy of the circular referred to above.

[signature]

For Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12-02.

Mysore Chief Commissioner's circular no. 2528-72 of 22nd Aug 1871 received with circular no. 226 of 25th idem from the Superintendent, Ashtagram Division.

To

The Superintendent,
Ash: Division

Sir,

A case having recently come to the notice of the Chief Commissioner in which a collision between the Hindus and Mahomedans of a town was rendered imminent owing to the obstruction caused to a Hindu procession by the existence of a newly erected Mussjid in a street almost wholly inhabited by Hindus, it appears to Colonel Meade that unless some precautions are taken to prevent rival sects from establishing places of worship in localities where

their

their position will inevitably provoke ill feeling, if not actual disturbance, such occasions of conflict are but too likely to become more numerous.

2. The Chief Commissioner therefore desires that you will make it generally known that no buildings intended to be used as places of worship by any class of the community should be erected on any public street or thoroughfare in any town or village without the previous sanction of the District Officer in each case.

3 The order forbidding the use of music by religious processions when passing a place of worship of another persuasion will not be held applicable in the case of any building of the nature under advertence, that may be hereafter erected in a public street or thoroughfare without due sanction. — I have etc

Sd/ H. M. S. Magrath
For Offg: Secretary.

"Copy"

[signature]
For Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

8.12.05.

1905-06

From M Chengiah chetty, Esquire, B.A.
offg. Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

L. Ananthasami Rao Esq: B.A
Secretary to Government of
Mysore, Muzrai Department

Dated 5th

Despatched 7th December 1905

Received.

General No. 433
No.

Enclosure No. one

As desired in his circular docket no 2518-24 dated 29th November 1905, has the honor to forward herewith a copy of the late Chief Commissioner's No 2528-72 dated 22nd August 1871.

Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

85

Mysore Chief Commissioner's office

Bangalore 22nd August 1871

ineral no 2528
Gl. 72

Circular

To

The Superintendent
Nagar Division

Sir,

A case having recently come to the notice of the Chief Commissioner in which a collison between the Hindoos and Mohomedans of a Town was rendered imnent, owing to the obstruction caused to a Hindoo p cession by the existence of a newly erected Musjid in a street almost wholly inhabited by Hindoos, it appears Colonel Meade that unless some precautions are taken prevent rival sects from establishing places of worship A localities where their position will inevitably provoke feeling, if not actual disturbance, such occasions of confli are but too likely to become more numerous.

2 The Chief Commissioner therefore desires that y will make it generally known, that no buildings intende to be used as places of worship by any class of the comm should be erected on any public street or thoroughfare

any

any Town or village without the previous sanction of the District officer in each case.

3 The order forbidding the use of music by religious processions when passing a place of worship of another persuasion, will not hold applicable in the case of any building of the nature under advertence, that may be hereafter erected in a public street or thoroughfare without due sanction

I have &c
Signed A. M.S Magrath.
For offg Secretary.

Nagar Division Superintendent's Office
Camp Jagalur 28th August 1871

icular
no 262

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Superintendent of Cuddoor with a request that the orders be fully promulgated.

A.C. Hay.
Superintendent.
Nagar Division

'Copy'

Deputy Commissioner.
Kadur District

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
2667
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

a

case complete

m/12/05

1905

From
K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To
L. Anantasami Rao Esqr B.A.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Muzrai Department
Bangalore

Dated 12th December 1905

Despatched 12th.

Received

General No. 5021
No. 324

Enclosure No.

His Circular No: 2518-24 dated 29th November 1905 requesting to forward a copy of the late Chief C circular No: 2528-7 dated 22nd A 1871.

Has the honor to report that the circular referred to in the above, not available this office and

state that an extract from the above circular is embodied in the printed Government Proceedings No: 913 / Inwr. 7. 58-9 dated 6th June 1893.

[signature]

For Deputy Commissioner

Skll/ Kolar District

b no

printed

913

1127.58-9

2740

are compile + submit orders

W 18/12/05

1905.

From M. Dorasowmi Iyer Ex
Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

To
M. Anantasami Rao Ex, B.A.,
Secretary to the Government
& at the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzarai Department

Dated 15th

Despatched 16th December 1905.

Received

General No. 571
No.

Enclosure No 1

With reference to Muzarai Secretary's Circular No 2518-24, dated the 29th ultimo requesting to be furnished with a copy of the late Chief Commissioner's Cir: No 2528-72 of the 22nd August 1871 in re the construction of buildings intended to be used as places of worship by any class of the community undersigned has the hon to forward herewith, as desired, a copy of the circula

in question since traced in the English Records of this office.

For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

General No 2528

General No 72

Mysore Chief Commissioner's Office

Bangalore 2nd August 1871

Circular

To

The Superintendent of the

Nandidroog Division

Sir,

A case having recently come to the notice of the Chief Commissioner in which a collision between the Hindoos and Mahomedans of a town was rendered imminent owing to the obstruction caused to a Hindoo procession by the existence of a newly erected Musjid in a street almost wholly inhabited by Hindoos, it appears to Colonel Meade that unless some precautions are taken to prevent rival sects from establishing places of worship in localities where their position will inevitably provoke ill feeling, if not actual disturbance, such occasions of conflict are but too likely to become more numerous.

2. The Chief Commissioner therefore desires that you will make it generally known that no buildings intended to be used as places of worship by any class of the community should be erected on any public street or thoroughfare in any town or village without the previous sanction of the District Officer in each case.

3. The order forbidding the use of music by religious processions when passing to place of worship of another persuasion will not be held applicable in the case of any building of the nature under advertence, that may be hereafter erected in a public street or thoroughfare without due sanction

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
Sd/ H M.D. Magrath

For Offg Secretary.

[illegible] Division

Superintendent's Office

[illegible] September

[illegible]

Circular Memorandum

Copy of the [illegible] is forwarded to the Deputy Superintendent [illegible] for promulgation within his jurisdiction.

Sd/ [illegible]

Off. Superintendent

"Copy"

for Deputy Commissioner

2 Drafts for approval

Muz I A

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

[illegible]

From

L. ANANTASAMI [illegible]
Secretary to the Government
H. H. [illegible]
Muzrai Department

To

The Secretary to Govt,
Genl & Rev. Deptts.

Dated ~~[illegible]~~
Despatched 8th March 1906
Received
General No. 3768.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. [illegible]

In continuation of this Office No. ~~2470, dated 24th November 1905, and with reference to correspondence ending with his~~ No. ~~1248~~ 1048, dated ~~2nd~~ 26th August 1905, has the honor to ~~state that the Deputy Commissioners of all the Districts except Bangalore, Kolar and Shimoga, have, upon requisition furnished from this Office with copies of the~~ late Chief Commissioner's Circular No. 2528-72 dated 22nd August 1871, regarding the construction of religious buildings

forward herewith a copy of the

M.G.C.P.B.—1,000—19-7-05.

in the State.

~~A copy of the said circular is forwarded herewith as requested.~~

By Order,

4/3/06

Murzban Secretary

2/3/06

Genl No 2528
98 72

115 Mysore Chief-Comr's Office
Bangalore 22d August 1871

Circular

To The Superintendent
Nagar Division

Sir,

A case having recently come to the notice of the Chief Commissioner in which a collision between the Hindus and Mahomedans of a town was rendered imminent, owing to the obstruction caused to a Hindu procession by the existence of a newly erected Mosjid in a street almost wholly inhabited by Hindus, it appears to Colonel Meade that unless some precautions are taken to prevent rival sects from establishing places of worship in localities

whereas their position will inevitably produce ill feeling, if not actual disturbance, such occasions of conflict are but too likely to become more numerous.

2. The Chief Commissioner therefore desires that you will make it generally known, that no building intended to be used as places of worship by any class of the Community should be erected on any public street or thoroughfare, in any Town or Village, without the previous sanction of the District Officer in each case.

3. The order forbidding the use of Music by religious processions when passing a place

of worship of another persuasion will not hold applicable in the case of any building of the nature under advertence that may be hereafter erected in a public street or thoroughfare without due sanction.

I have &c

/sign/ H. M. S. Magrath
For Offg. Secretary

Circular No: 262 Nagar Divn. Supdt's Office
Camp Jagalur 28th August/871

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Superintendent of Chitaldrug with a request that the order be fully promulgated.

/sign/ A. C. Hay
Superintendent
Nagar Division

Copy.

For Commissioner

8

2 Drafts for approval

Muz. I A.]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

(1) The D. C. Bangalore Dt
(2) The D C. Kolar Dt
(3) The D C Shimoga Dt

Dated

Despatched 8-3-1905

Received

General No. / Muzrai F. No. 3769, 3770, 3771.

Enclosure No. One

(1) Bangalore DC's no 43 Dy 18 11 05
(2) Kolar D.C.'s no. 5021/324 Dy 12.12.05.
(3) Shimoga D.C's no. 728/48 Dy 1.2.06

With refce to his no Dy has the honor to forward herewith copy of the late Chief Commissr Circular No. 2528-72, dated 22nd August 1871.

2. The said Circular Order relates to the construction of religious buildings in the State, and is the standing order on the subject.

By Order

3/3/06

Muzr. Secretary
SA
22 2 06

2/3/06

M.G.C.P.B.—1,000—19-7-05.

1906

3387
17.2.06

From M. S. Narayanaswami
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To L. Ananthasavanna Rudrey
Secretary to Government
Muzarai Department

Dated 1st February 1906

Despatched

Received

General No. 728
No. 48.

Enclosure No. 1

With reference to his Circular No 2518-24 dated 29th November 1905 forwards a copy of the Canarese Circular No 2151 of the 17th September 1871

1906

From

M. S. Narayana Rao Sy
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District.

To,

L. Ananthasami Rao Sy B.A.
Secretary to Government
Inuzari Department

Dated 1st February 1906.

No. 728/48.

His Circular No 2518-24 dated the 29th November 1905, requesting to be supplied with a copy of the late Chief Commissioner's Circular No 2528-72 of the 22nd August 1871

Has the honour to state that a search was made in the English records and the copy referred to, was not found ~~out~~. But in the meanwhile a copy of the Kanarese Circular No 2151 of 17th September 1871 issued from the Deputy Superintendent Shimoga District to the several

Taluks

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1871 में लागू हो गया

अधिनियम सं. 18 सन् 2151 में निरस्त

151. 17 (1) किसी कारखाने में कार्यरत किसी व्यक्ति को

बीमा पर्ची निर्गत करने के लिए

या कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा अधिनियम

के अन्तर्गत बीमाकृत व्यक्ति के रूप में

दर्ज किए गए हैं, कारखाने के प्रबन्धक

के पास उपस्थित रहेंगे और उन्हें

कर्मचारी राज्य बीमा निगम

या उसके कर्मचारियों को निरीक्षण

करने के लिए उपलब्ध कराएंगे।

(2) इस धारा के प्रयोजनों के लिए

बीमाकृत व्यक्ति 22 वर्ष से कम

आयु का कोई व्यक्ति नहीं माना जाएगा।

2528/72 में नियम 2 के खण्ड (ग) के

स्पष्टीकरण में उल्लिखित अर्थ

के अनुसार बीमाकृत व्यक्ति

अभिप्रेत है।

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

124

1905-06.

3358

From
H. H. Sparkes Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
Le. Anantasawmi Rao Esq: B.A.
Secy: to Govt. Mng: Deptt

Dated 3rd February 1906

Despatched

Received

General No. [illegible]
No.

Enclosure No.

Submits a copy of the late Chief Commissioner's circular No. 2528-72 dated 22nd August 1871

Please compile & submit

7.2.06

Office of the Hon'ble Commissioner
[illegible] District &c

No [illegible] Dated [illegible] 3rd February 1906

L. Anantha S[illegible]
Secretary
of His Highness
of Mys[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] Department
Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to your circular No. 2518-94 dated 29th November 1905, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the late Chief Commissioner's circular No. 2528-72 dated 22nd August 1871 regarding the construction of buildings intended to be used as places of worship by any class of the community.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

[signature]
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Cpd
Bd.

General No. 3548/Gl. 12.

Mysore Chief Comm's Office

Bangalore, [illegible] 1911.

Circular No. [illegible]

To

The Superintendent,

Division.

Sir,

A case having recently come to the notice of the Chief Commissioner in which a collision between the Hindus and Mahomedans of a Town was rendered imminent, owing to the obstruction caused to a Hindu procession by the existence of newly erected musjid in a street almost wholly inhabited by Hindoos, it appears to Col Meade that unless some precautions are taken to prevent rival sects from establishing places of worship in localities where their position will inevitably provoke ill feeling if not actual disturbance, such occasions of conflict are but too likely to become more numerous.

2. The Chief Commissioner therefore desires that you will make it generally known, that no buildings intended to be used as places of worship by any class of the community should be erected on any public street or thoroughfare, in any town or village without the previous sanction of the District Officer, in each case.

3. The order forbidding the use of music by religious processions when passing a place of worship of another persuasion, will not hold applicable in the case of any building of the nature under advertance, that may be hereafter erected in a public street or thoroughfare without due sanction.

I have &c

Sd/. H.M.S. Magrath

Offg: Secretary

"Copy"

Sd/. H. Ram

For Secretary

Copy

[illegible]

For Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District

3465
17.2.06

1905-06.

From H. Ramanujarengar Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To
L. Ananthaswami Rao Esq B.A.
Secretary to the
Govt: of Mysore
Mungroi Deptt.
February 06.

Dated 3d
Despatched
Received

General No. 398
No.

Enclosure No. 1

His docket No: 2518-21
dated 29th November/06

As requested in the above has the honor to forward herewith a copy of the late Chief Commissioner's No: 2528-72 dated 28th August/71 regarding the construction of buildings to be used

as places of worship

[illegible]
For Dy. Commissioner
Chitaldrug Dis